arXiv:1206.5817v3 [math.AG] 2 Jul 2012

Reciprocity Laws on Algebraic Surfaces via Iterated Integrals

(with an appendix by Matt Kerr)

Ivan E. Horozov

Abstract

In this paper we define a new symbol, called the 4-function symbol, on a complex algebraic surface, which satisfies two types of reciprocity laws. In comparison the Parshin symbol on a surface is defined for 3 non-zero rational functions. Both the 4-function symbol and the Parshin symbol are expressed as a product of more primitive symbols, which we call bi-local symbols. They also satisfy reciprocity laws and occur naturally, when iterated integrals are used. The key technical ingredient is the notion of iterated integrals on membranes. In terms of such integrals, we not only prove reciprocity laws but we give an interpretation of the symbols as parallel transports on the loop space of a variety. Moreover, such integrals give a relation between the 4-function symbol and the Riemann curvature tensor.

The appendix contains a K-theoretic variant of the 4-function symbol, which differs by a sign. This difference causes one of the reciprocity laws to fail, suggesting that iterated integrals play an essential role in the definition of the (correct) 4-function symbol.

Contents

0 Introduction **2**

1 Weil reciprocity via iterated path integrals **4**

2 Iterated integrals on membranes **7**
  2.1 Two foliations 7
  2.2 Iterated integrals on a membrane. Definitions and properties 10

3 Symbols and reciprocity laws **12**
  3.1 First type of reciprocity laws 12
    3.1.1 Bi-local symbols and relations 12
    3.1.2 First type of reciprocity law for local symbols 14
  3.2 Invariance under blow-up 16

3.3 Second type of reciprocity laws 17
  3.3.1 Definition of bi-local symbols 17
  3.3.2 Bi-local reciprocity laws 17
  3.3.3 Parshin symbol. Second reciprocity law. 18
  3.3.4 4-functions on a surface. Second reciprocity law. 19
3.4 Symbols. Connections on loop spaces. Riemann curvature tensor. . 19

0 Introduction

This paper presents new symbols on complex algebraic surfaces and new reciprocity laws on them, in addition to well-known ones such as the Parshin symbol and its reciprocity laws (see [P1], [P2], [Ka], [FV], [R]). This is the second paper (preceded by [H1]) in a series on reciprocity laws via iterated integrals.

Here we define a new symbol, which we call the 4-*function symbol* on a complex algebraic surface. In comparison, the Parshin symbol on a surface is defined for 3 non-zero rational functions. We obtain the 4-function symbol using iterated integrals.

The results of this paper were greatly appreciated by Parshin, Osipov, and the whole Algebra Seminar at Steklov Insitute in Moscow, where the participants asked many interesting questions; for example, is there an interpretation of the 4-function symbol in terms of Milnor K-groups? This question is addressed in the appendix by M. Kerr, which provides a K-theoretic interpretation of the 4-function symbol *up to a sign*. In fact, the second reciprocity law of the K-theoretic symbol might fail (by precisely this sign), amplifying the importance of iterated integrals in the 4-function symbol's definition.

Both the Parshin symbol and the 4-function symbol on a complex surface can be expressed as a product of bi-local symbols defined in this paper. Bi-local symbols depend on two points P and Q. One can think of Q as a base point and P as lying in the support of the divisor of a rational function (on a curve) resp. the intersection of two such divisors (on a surface). In fact, bi-local symbols occur more naturally than local symbols when we use iterated integrals, and we first prove reciprocity laws for the bi-local symbols. From these, we derive reciprocity laws for the 4-function symbol and the Parshin symbol.

Unlike the paper [H1], where the author used iterated integrals over paths for reciprocity laws, here we use a higher dimensional analogue which we call *iterated integrals over membranes.* It took five or six years to complete the many details around these new ideas. An apology is due from the author to the mathematical community and mostly to my former student for that delay.

The idea for iterated integrals over membranes had its genesis in an attempt to generalize Manin's non-commutative modular symbol [M] to a non-commutative Hilbert modular symbol [H2], which remains an ongoing project. However, the author received a long and encouraging letter [M2] on an earlier version of the present article from Manin, who suggested that iterated integrals over membranes might lead to de Rham complexes on higher loop spaces. While this article was being completed, the author defined a connection on higher loop spaces [H4]. In that paper we interpret the Parshin symbol and the 4-function symbol in terms of a parallel transport on a loop space. Such an idea had been used by Brylinski and McLaughlin in [BrMcL], where they used gerbes in order to define a connection on a loop space for the purpose of the Parshin symbol. Here we give an alternative, more analytic approach to iterated integrals over membranes.

We should mention a few other approaches to tame symbols and the Parshin symbol, for example, [D1], [OZh], [R], [Kh].

This paper is a corrected and substantially improved version of the preprint "Refinement of the Parshin symbol for surfaces" [H3]. As Deligne pointed out in an email to the author [D2], the refined symbols were not independent of choices of local uniformizers. After examining carefully their origin – namely, iterated integrals of differential forms over membranes – we realized that the refinement becomes independent of local uniformizers when we fix two points on a curve on a surface and when we localize using three uniformizers: one for the curve on which the two points lie, and one for each of the points on the curve. For that reason we call these symbols bi-local symbols in this paper.

There is another striking coincidence (see Theorem 3.14): the symmetries of the new local symbol are the same as the symmetry of the Riemann curvature tensor. At first glance this seems surprising. However, there is a deeper meaning behind the coincidence. There is a modification of the Riemann curvature tensor in order to include the Dirac matrices (see [DGL]). It is associated to fit the Clifford algebra $Cliff_{1,3}(\mathbb{R})$, called the space time algebra. In another paper [H5], we express an integral of the analogue of the Riemann curvature tensor by the same type of iterated integral on a membrane, which we use in order to define the 4-function local symbol on a complex algebraic surface.

Structure of the paper

In Section 1, we recall basic properties of iterated integrals over paths. Then we prove Weil reciprocity by establishing first a reciprocity law for a bi-local symbol, and then removing the dependence on the base point.

In section 2.2, we define iterated integrals over membranes (Definition 2.4), which are more general than the ones used in section 3.4 and for connections on loop spaces. For the definition of a membrane m for such integrals, we need two foliations satisfying many conditions. The existence of such foliations is established in section 2.1.

Section 3 contains the main results. Subsection 3.1 concerns the first type of reciprocity law, in which the product of the symbols is over all points P of a fixed curve C on a surface X. In 3.1.1, we define bi-local symbols on surfaces (see Definition 3.3) and prove the first type of reciprocity laws for them. We express both the Parshin symbol and the 4-function symbol in terms of bi-local symbols (see Definition 3.6), whereupon the first type of reciprocity law follows immediately in each case (Theorems 3.5 and 3.7).

For the second type of reciprocity law (subsection 3.3), the product of the symbols is taken over all curves C in X passing though a fixed point P. We first establish a technical result on the invariance of the Parshin and 4-function symbols under blow-up (cf. 3.2), and define bi-local symbols suitable for the second type of reciprocity law (3.3.1). This reciprocity is then proved for the bi-local symbols (3.3.2), Parshin symbols (3.3.3), and 4-function symbols (3.3.4).

We conclude with an interpretation of the 4-function symbol and the Parshin symbol in terms of a connection on the loop space of a variety (subsection 3.4). Also, we point out relations between the the 4-function symbol and the Riemann curvature tensor.

Acknowledgments

I am indebted to Parshin, Osipov and the entire Algebra Seminar at the Steklov Institute in Moscow for their interest, numerous questions and discussions in relation to the results in this paper. I would also like to thank Manin and Goncharov for their encouragement to develop my ideas about iterated integrals on membranes, and Deligne for his valuable remarks on an earlier version of this paper. I would like to thank M. Kerr for the useful discussions, for proofs in Subsecion 2.1 and for the careful reading of the paper.

Finally, I gratefully acknowledge the financial support and good working environment provided by Max Planck Insitute for Mathematics, Brandeis University, University of Tubingen and Washington University in St Louis during the period of working on this and related papers.

1 Weil reciprocity via iterated path integrals

Let C be a smooth complex curve. Let f_1 and f_2 be two non-zero rational functions on C. Let

$$\gamma : [0,1] \to C$$

be a path, which is continuous function and piecewise differentiable.

Definition 1.1. *We define an iterated integral*

$$\int_\gamma \frac{df_1}{f_1} \cdot \frac{df_2}{f_2} = \int_{0<t_1<t_2<1} \gamma^* \left(\frac{df_1}{f_1}\right)(t_1) \wedge \gamma^* \left(\frac{df_2}{f_2}\right)(t_2).$$

Lemma 1.2. *An iterated integral over a path γ on a smooth curve C is homotopy invariant with respect to a homotopy, fixing the end points of the path γ.*

Lemma 1.3. *If $\gamma = \gamma_1\gamma_2$ is a composition of two paths, where the end of the first path is the beginning of the second. Then*

$$\int_{\gamma_1\gamma_2} \frac{df_1}{f_1} \cdot \frac{df_2}{f_2} = \int_{\gamma_1} \frac{df_1}{f_1} \cdot \frac{df_2}{f_2} + \int_{\gamma_1} \frac{df_1}{f_1} \int_{\gamma_2} \frac{df_2}{f_2} + \int_{\gamma_2} \frac{df_1}{f_1} \cdot \frac{df_2}{f_2}.$$

Let σ be a simple loop around a point P on C with a base point Q. Let $\sigma = \gamma\sigma_0\gamma^{-1}$, where σ_0 is a small loop around P and γ is a path joining the base point Q of σ with the base point of σ_0. Then we have the following:

Lemma 1.4.

$$\int_\sigma \frac{df_1}{f_1}\cdot\frac{df_2}{f_2} = \int_\gamma \frac{df_1}{f_1}\int_{\sigma_0}\frac{df_2}{f_2} + \int_{\sigma_0}\frac{df_1}{f_1}\cdot\frac{df_2}{f_2} + \int_{\sigma_0}\frac{df_1}{f_1}\int_{\gamma^{-1}}\frac{df_2}{f_2}.$$

Proof. First, we use Lemma 1.3 for the composition $\gamma\sigma_0\gamma^{-1}$. Then, we use the homotopy invariance of iterated integrals, Lemma 1.2, for the path $\gamma\gamma^{-1}$. Thus,

$$0 = \int_{\gamma\gamma^{-1}} \frac{df_1}{f_1}\cdot\frac{df_2}{f_2}.$$

Finally, we use Lemma 1.3 for the composition of paths $\gamma\gamma^{-1}$. That gives

$$0 = \int_{\gamma\gamma^{-1}} \frac{df_1}{f_2}\cdot\frac{df_2}{f_2} = \int_\gamma \frac{df_1}{f_1}\cdot\frac{df_2}{f_2} + \int_\gamma\frac{df_1}{f_1}\int_{\gamma^{-1}}\frac{df_2}{f_2} + \int_{\gamma^{-1}}\frac{df_1}{f_1}\cdot\frac{df_2}{f_2}.$$

$\square$

Let x be a rational function on C such that

$$ord_P(x) = 1.$$

Let

$$a_i = ord_P(f_i).$$

And let

$$g_i = x^{-a_i}f_i.$$

Then

$$\frac{df_i}{f_i} = a_i\frac{dx}{x} + \frac{dg_i}{g_i}.$$

Let σ_0^ϵ be a small loop around the point P, whose points at at most at distance ϵ from the point P. We have

$$\int_\gamma \frac{df_1}{f_1}\int_{\sigma_0^\epsilon}\frac{df_2}{f_2} = 2\pi i a_2\int_\gamma\frac{df_1}{f_1} = 2\pi i a_2\left(a_1\int_\gamma\frac{dx}{x} + \int_\gamma\frac{dg_1}{g_1}\right).$$

Similarly,

$$\int_{\sigma_0^\epsilon}\frac{df_1}{f_1}\int_{\gamma^{-1}}\frac{df_2}{f_2} = 2\pi i a_1\left(-a_2\int_\gamma\frac{dx}{x} - \int_\gamma\frac{dg_2}{g_2}\right).$$

From [H1], we have that

$$\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\int_{\sigma_0^\epsilon}\frac{df_1}{f_1}\cdot\frac{df_2}{f_2} = \frac{(2\pi i)^2}{2}a_1a_2.$$

Therefore,

$$\int_\sigma \frac{df_1}{f_2}\cdot\frac{df_2}{f_2} = 2\pi i\left(a_2\log(g_1) - a_1\log(g_2) + \pi i a_1 a_2\right)|_Q^P.$$

After exponentiation, we obtain

Lemma 1.5.

$$\exp\left(\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_\sigma \frac{df_1}{f_2}\cdot\frac{df_2}{f_2}\right) = (-1)^{a_1a_2}\left.\frac{g_1^{a_2}}{g_2^{a_1}}\right|_Q^P = (-1)^{a_1a_2}\left.\frac{f_1^{a_2}}{f_2^{a_1}}\right|_Q^P.$$

Definition 1.6. *(Bi-local symbol on a curve) With the above notation, we define a bi-local symbol*

$$\{f_1, f_2\}_P^Q = (-1)^{a_1a_2}\left.\frac{g_1^{a_2}}{g_2^{a_1}}\right|_Q^P.$$

Let C be of genus g and let $P_1, \ldots, P_n$ be the points in the divisors of f_1 and f_2. Let $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n$ be simple loops around the points $P_1, \ldots, P_n$, respectively. Let also $\alpha_1, \beta_1, \ldots, \alpha_g, \beta_g$ be the $2g$ loops on C such that

$$\pi_1(C, Q) = <\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n, \alpha_1, \beta_1, \ldots, \alpha_n, \beta_n> / \sim,$$

where $\delta \sim 1$, for

$$\delta = \prod_{i=1}^n \sigma_i \prod_{j=1}^g [\alpha_j, \beta_j].$$

From Theorem 3.1 in [H1], we have

Lemma 1.7. *(a)*

$$\int_\alpha \frac{df_1}{f_1} \in 2\pi i\mathbb{Z}.$$

(b)

$$\int_{\alpha\beta\alpha^{-1}\beta^{-1}} \frac{df_1}{f_1}\cdot\frac{df_2}{f_2} = \int_\alpha \frac{df_1}{f_1}\int_\beta \frac{df_2}{f_2} - \int_\alpha \frac{df_2}{f_2}\int_\beta \frac{df_1}{f_1}.$$

Using the above result, we obtain that

$$0 = \int_\delta \frac{df_1}{f_1}\cdot\frac{df_2}{f_2} = (2\pi i\mathbb{Z})^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\sigma_i} \frac{df_1}{f_1}\cdot\frac{df_2}{f_2},$$

where the sum is over simple loops σ_i around each of the points P_i. Therefore,

$$\prod_P (-1)^{a_1a_2}\left.\frac{f_1^{a_2}}{f_2^{a_1}}\right|_Q^P = 1$$

This reciprocity is for bi-local symbol depending on the point P and Q. If we want to make it into a local symbol we have to remove the dependency on the base point Q. This can be achieved in the following way: In the reciprocity law for the bi-local symbol, the dependency on Q is

$$\prod_P f_1(Q)^{a_2} f_2(Q)^{-a_1} = f_1(Q)^{(2\pi i)^{-1}\sum_P Res_P \frac{df_2}{f_2}} =$$

$$f_2(Q)^{-(2\pi i)^{-1}\sum_P Res_P \frac{df_1}{f_1}} = f_1(Q)^0 f_2(Q)^0 = 1.$$

Thus, we recover Weil reciprocity

$$\prod_P (-1)^{a_1 a_2} \frac{f_1^{a_2}}{f_2^{a_1}}(P) = 1.$$

2 Iterated integrals on membranes

2.1 Two foliations

The goal of this section is to construct two foliations on a complex projective algebraic surface X in $\mathbb{P}^k$. Let f_1, f_2, f_3 and f_4 be four non-zero rational functions on the surface X. Let

$$C \cup C_1 \cup \cdots \cup C_n = \bigcup_{i=1}^{4} |div(f_i)|.$$

Let

$$\{P_1, \dots, P_N\} = C \cap (C_1 \cup \cdots \cup C_n).$$

We can assume that the curves $C, C_1, \dots, C_n$ are smooth and that the intersections are transversal (normal crossings), by allowing blow-ups on the surface X.

The two foliations have to satisfy the following

Conditions:

1. There exists a foliation F'_v such that
   (a) $F'_v = (f - v)_0$ are the level sets of a rational function

   $$f : X \to \mathbb{P}^1,$$

   for small values of v, (that is, for $|v| < \epsilon$ for a chosen ϵ);

   (b) F'_v is smooth for all but finitely many values of v;

   (c) F'_v has only nodal singularities;

   (d) $f|_C \equiv 0$;

  (e) $R_i \notin C_j$, for $i = 1, \dots, M$ and $j = 1, \dots, n$, where
$$\{R_1, \dots, R_M\} = C \cap (D_1 \cup \cdots \cup D_m)$$
  and
$$F'_0 = (f)_0 = C \cup D_1 \cup \cdots \cup D_m.$$

2. There exists a foliation G_w such that
   (a) $G_w = (g - w)_0$ are the level sets of a rational function
$$g : X \to \mathbb{P}^1;$$
   (b) G_w is smooth for all but finitely many values of w;
   (c) G_w has only nodal singularities;
   (d) $g|_C$ is non constant.

3. Coherence between the two foliations F' and G:
   (a) All but finitely many leaves of the foliation G are transversal to the curve C.
   (b) $G_{g(P_i)}$ intersects the curve C transversally, for $i = 1, \dots, N$. (For definition of the points P_i see the beginning of this Subsection.)
   (c) $G_{g(R_i)}$ intersects the curve C transversally, for $i = 1, \dots, M$. (For definition of the points R_i see condition 1(e).)

The existence of $f \in \mathbb{C}(X)^\times$ satisfying properties 1(a-d) is immediate from the following result, which follows immediately from (a special case of) a result of Thomas ([Th], Theorem 4.2).

Theorem 2.1. *Consider a smooth curve C in a smooth projective surface X, with hyperplane section H_X. There exists a large constant $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and a pencil in $|NH_X|$, given as the level sets $(f - x)_0$ of some rational function f such that $(f - x)_0$ is smooth for all but finitely many values of x, at which it has only nodal singularities, and $C \subset (f)_0$.*

Moreover, a general choice of $g \in \mathbb{C}(X)^\times$ (of any degree) will satisfy 2(a-d) and 3(a-c). (For instance, the quotient of two generic linear forms on $\mathbb{P}^k$ restricted to C will not have branch points in $\{P_i\} \cup \{R_j\}$.)

It remains to examine property 1(e). The proof of Theorem 4.2 in [op. cit.] contains the basic

Observation: *The base locus of the linear system $H^0(I_Z(N))$ is Z for $N >> 0$. So by Bertini's theorem the general element of the linear system is smooth away from Z.*

Consider $C \subset X$. By the Observation, there exists $\mathcal{F} \in H^0(X, \mathcal{O}(N))$ such that $\mathrm{ord}_C(\mathcal{F}) = 1$ and $(\mathcal{F}) = C + D$, where D is a second smooth curve on X, meeting C transversally (if at all).

Claim: *We may choose $\mathcal{F}$ so that condition 1(e) holds, that is, $R_i \notin C_j$ for each i, j, where $\{R_1, \ldots, R_M\} = C \cap D$. Equivalently, $C \cap D \cap C_j = \emptyset$.*

Proof. Define $H^0(I_C(N))^{reg}$ to be the subset of $H^0(X, I_C(N))$ whose elements $\mathcal{F}$ satisfy $\mathrm{ord}_C(\mathcal{F}) = 1$ and $(\mathcal{F}) = C + D$ as above. Assume that for every $N >> 0$ and $\mathcal{F} \in H^0(I_C(N))^{reg}$ we have $D \cap C \cap C_j \neq \emptyset$ for some particular j. If we obtain a contradiction (for some N) then the claim is proved, since this is a closed condition for each j.

According to our assumption, $(\mathcal{F})$ always has an ordinary double point at the intersection $\Delta := C \cap C_j \neq \emptyset$. In the exact sequence

$$0 \to H^0(X, I_C^2(N)) \to H^0(X, I_C(N)) \to H^0(C, \mathcal{N}^*_{C/X}(N)) \to H^1(X, I_C^2(N)),$$

the last term vanishes by ([GH], Vanishing Theorem B) for N sufficiently large. Hence, every section over C of the twisted conormal sheaf $\mathcal{N}^*_{C/X}(N)$ has a zero along $\Delta = C \cap C_j$.

Next consider the exact sequence

$$0 \to H^0(C, I_\Delta \otimes \mathcal{N}^*_{C/X}(N)) \to H^0(C, \mathcal{N}^*_{C/X}(N)) \to \mathbb{C}^{|\Delta|} \to H^1(C, I_\Delta \otimes \mathcal{N}^*_{C/X}(N)).$$

The last term vanishes again by [loc. cit.]. Denote the third arrow by ev_Δ. Then we can take a section of $\mathcal{N}^*_{C/X}(N)$ not vanishing on Δ simply by taking an element in the preimage of $ev_\Delta(1, \ldots, 1)$. This produces the desired contradiction. $\square$

Let

$$C_0 = C - \{R_1, \ldots, R_M\}.$$

Consider a metric on the surface X, which respects the complex structure. For example one can take the inherited metric from the Fubini-Study metric on $\mathbb{P}^k$ via the embedding $X \to \mathbb{P}^k$. Let $U_1^\epsilon, \ldots, U_M^\epsilon$ be disks of radii ϵ on C, centered respectively at $R_1, \ldots, R_M$. Let F_v be the connected component of

$$F'_v - \bigcup_{i=1}^{M} G_{U_i^\epsilon},$$

containing C_0, for $|v| << \epsilon$.

Lemma 2.2. *With the above notation, for small values of $|v|$, we have that F_v has the homotopy type of C_0.*

Proof. From Property 3(c), it follows that C and D_i meet at R_j (if all all) at a non-zero angle. At the intersection R_i, locally we can approximate the curves by $xy = 0$. The deformation leads to $v - xy = 0$, which is a leaf of F', locally near R_i.

Consider a disk U of radius ϵ at $(x,y)=(0,0)$ in the xy-plane. Then for $|v|<<\epsilon$ we have that U separates F'_v into 2 components, one close to the x-axis and the other close to the y-axis. We do the same for each of the points $R_1,\dots,R_M$ and we take the minimum of bounds of the variable v. Then F_v will consist of points close to the curve C_0. $\square$

2.2 Iterated integrals on a membrane. Definitions and properties

Let τ be a simple loop around C in $X-D$, based at R. Let σ be a loop on the curve $C^0=C_0-(D_1\cup\dots\cup D_m)\cap C_0$. We define a *membrane* m_σ associated to a loop σ in C^0 by

$$m_\sigma:[0,1]^2\to X,$$

$$m_\sigma(s,t)\in F_{f(\tau(t))}\cap G_{g(\sigma(s))}$$

$$m_\sigma(0,0)=R.$$

Note that for fixed values of s and t, we have that

$$F_{f(\tau(t))}\cap G_{g(\sigma(s))}$$

consists of finitely many points, where F and G are foliations satisfying the Conditions in Subsection 2.1 and Lemma 2.2.

Consider the dependence of $\log(f_i(m(s,t))$ on the variables s and t via the parametrization of the membrane m. We have

$$d\log(f_i(m(s,t))=\frac{\partial\log(f_i(m(s,t)))}{\partial s}ds+\frac{\partial\log(f_i(m(s,t)))}{\partial t}dt.$$

In order to use a more compact notation, we will use

$$\log(f_i)_{,s}(s,t)=\frac{\partial\log(f_i(m(s,t)))}{\partial s}$$

and similarly

$$\log(f_i)_{,t}(s,t)=\frac{\partial\log(f_i(m(s,t)))}{\partial t}.$$

Definition 2.3. *(Iterated integrals on membranes) Let $f_1,\dots,f_{k+l}$ be rational functions on X, where the integers (k,l) will be supperscripts. Let m be a membrane as above. We define:*

(a) $I^{(1,1)}(m;f_1,f_2)=$

$$\int_0^1\int_0^1\log(f_1)_{,s}(s,t)ds\wedge\log(f_1)_{,t}(s,t)dt;$$

(b) $I^{(1,2)}(m; f_1, f_2, f_3) =$

$$= \int\int\int_{0\le s_1\le s_2\le 1; 0\le t\le 1} \log(f_1),_{s_1}(s_1,t)ds_1 \wedge \log(f_2),_t(s_1,t)dt \wedge$$
$$\wedge \log(f_3),_{s_2}(s_2,t)ds_2;$$

(c) $I^{(2,1)}(m; f_1, f_2, f_3) =$

$$= \int\int\int_{0\le s\le 1; 0\le t_1\le t_2\le 1} \log(f_1),_s(s,t_1)ds \wedge \log(f_2),_{t_1}(s,t_1)dt_1 \wedge$$
$$\wedge \log(f_3),_{t_2}(s,t_2)dt_2;$$

(d) $I^{(2,2)}(m; f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4) =$

$$\int\int\int\int_{0\le s_1\le s_2\le 1; 0\le t_1\le t_2\le 1} \log(f_1),_{s_1}(s_1,t_1)ds_1 \wedge \log(f_2),_{t_1}(s_1,t_1)dt_1 \wedge$$
$$\wedge \log(f_3),_{s_2}(s_2,t_2)ds_2; \log(f_4),_{t_2}(s_2,t_2)dt_2.$$

Define any smooth metric on X. Let τ be a simple loop around the curve C of distance at most ϵ from C. We are going to take the limit as $\epsilon \to 0$. Informally, the radius of the loop τ goes to zero. Then we have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.4. *(a)*

$$\lim_{\epsilon\to 0} I^{(1,1)}(m_\sigma, f_1, f_2) = (2\pi i) Res \frac{df_2}{f_2} \int_\sigma \frac{df_1}{f_1}$$

(b)

$$\lim_{\epsilon\to 0} I^{(1,2)}(m_\sigma, f_1, f_2, f_3) = \frac{(2\pi i)^2}{2} Res \frac{df_2}{f_2} Res \frac{df_3}{f_3} \int_\sigma \frac{df_1}{f_1}$$

(c)

$$\lim_{\epsilon\to 0} I^{(2,1)}(m_\sigma, f_1, f_2, f_3) = (2\pi i) Res \frac{df_2}{f_2} \int_\sigma \frac{df_1}{f_1} \circ \frac{df_3}{f_3}$$

(d)

$$\lim_{\epsilon\to 0} I^{(2,2)}(m_\sigma, f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4) = \frac{(2\pi i)^2}{2} Res \frac{df_2}{f_2} Res \frac{df_4}{f_4} \int_\sigma \frac{df_1}{f_1} \circ \frac{df_3}{f_3}$$

Proof. First consider the integrals in parts (a) and (c), where we have integration with respect to t in the definition of the membrane m. Then we have no iteration along the loop $m(s,\cdot)$ around the curve C, for fixed value of s and varying the second argument. That gives us a residue, which is independent of the base point $m(s,0)$. In the limit, when $\epsilon \to 0$, we have that $m(s,0)$ approaches $\sigma(s)$ uniformly. That proves parts (a) and (c).

For parts (b) and (d), we have a double iteration along loops $m(s,\cdot)$ around the curve C, where the value of s is fixed and the second argument varies. After taking the limit, the integral along $m(s,\cdot)$, with respect to t_1 and t_2, becomes a product of two residues, which are independent of a base point. Again, we have that $m(s,0)$ approaches $\sigma(s)$ uniformly. That proves parts (b) and (d). ☐

3 Symbols and reciprocity laws

3.1 First type of reciprocity laws

3.1.1 Bi-local symbols and relations

Consider the fundamental group of

$$C^0 = C - (\bigcup_j D_j) \cap C - (\bigcup_i C_i) \cap C.$$

Recall the notation for the intersection points

$$\{P_1, \dots, P_N\} = C \cap (C_1 \cup \dots \cup C_n)$$

and

$$\{R_1, \dots, R_M\} = C \cap (D_1 \cup \dots \cup D_m).$$

Let

$$\pi_1(C^0, R) =< \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n, \alpha_1, \beta_1, \dots, \alpha_g, \beta_g > / \sim$$

where

$$\delta \sim 1,$$

for

$$\delta = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \sigma_i \prod_{j=1}^{g} [\alpha_j, \beta_j].$$

We are going to drop the index i. Thus, we are going to write P instead of P_i or R_j and σ instead of σ_i. Consider the definition of a membrane m_σ in the beginning of Subsection 2.2 Let $m_\sigma(s, \cdot)$ be the loop obtained by fixing the variable s and letting the second argument vary. Similarly, $m_\sigma(\cdot, t)$ denotes the loop obtained by fixing the variable t and letting the first argument vary. We define

$$a_k = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{m_\sigma(\cdot, t)} \frac{df_k}{f_k} \text{ and } b_k = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{m_\sigma(s, \cdot)} \frac{df_k}{f_k}$$

One should think of $m_\sigma(\cdot, t)$ and $m_\sigma(s, \cdot)$ as translates of σ and of τ, respectively. Then the above integrals are residues, which detect the order of vanishing. For example b_k is the order of vanishing of f_k along a generic point of C. Then we have the following theorem, whose proof is immediate from Lemma 2.4 and 1.5.

Theorem 3.1. *(a)*

$$(2\pi i)^{-2} \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} I^{(1,1)}(m_\sigma, f_1, f_2) = a_1 b_2,$$

(b)

$$(2\pi i)^{-2} \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} I^{(2,1)}(m_\sigma, f_1, f_2, f_3) = \pi i a_1 b_2 b_3,$$

(c)

$$\exp\left((2\pi i)^{-2}\lim_{\epsilon\to 0} I^{(1,2)}(m_\sigma, f_1, f_2, f_3)\right) = \left(\{f_2, f_3\}_P^Q\right)^{b_1},$$

(d)

$$\exp\left(\frac{2}{(2\pi i)^3}\lim_{\epsilon\to 0} I^{(2,2)}(m_\sigma, f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4)\right) = \left(\{f_1, f_3\}_P^Q\right)^{b_2 b_4}.$$

Let us denote by α the loop α_j and by β the loop β_j. Then we have the following lemma

Lemma 3.2. *(a)*

$$(2\pi i)^{-2}\lim_{\epsilon\to 0} I^{(1,1)}(m_{[\alpha,\beta]} f_1, f_2) = 0,$$

(b)

$$(2\pi i)^{-2}\lim_{\epsilon\to 0} I^{(1,2)}(m_{[\alpha,\beta]}, f_1, f_2, f_3) = 0,$$

(c)

$$\exp\left((2\pi i)^{-2}\lim_{\epsilon\to 0} I^{(1,2)}(m_{[\alpha,\beta]}, f_1, f_2, f_3)\right) = 1,$$

(d)

$$\exp\left(\frac{2}{(2\pi i)^3}\lim_{\epsilon\to 0} I^{(2,2)}(m_{[\alpha,\beta]}, f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4)\right) = 1.$$

Proof. It follows from Lemmas 2.4 and 1.7. A more modern proof follows from the well-definedness of the integral Beilinson regulator on K_2 on the level of homology (see [Ke].)

Definition 3.3. *(Bi-local symbols on a surface) For a simple loop σ around a point P in C_0, based at Q, let*

$$Log^{(i,j)}[f_1, \dots, f_{i+j}]_{C,P}^Q = \lim_{e\to 0} I^{i,j}(m_\sigma, f_1, \dots, f_{i+j}),$$

$${}^{1,2}[f_1, f_2, f_3]_{C,P}^Q = \exp\left((2\pi i)^{-2}\lim_{\epsilon\to 0} I^{(1,2)}(m_\sigma, f_1, f_2, f_3)\right),$$

$${}^{2,1}[f_1, f_2, f_3]_{C,P}^Q = \exp\left((2\pi i)^{-2}\lim_{\epsilon\to 0} I^{(2,1)}(m_\sigma, f_1, f_2, f_3)\right),$$

$${}^{2,2}[f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4]_{C,P}^Q = \exp\left(\frac{2}{(2\pi i)^3}\lim_{\epsilon\to 0} I^{(2,2)}(m_\sigma, f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4)\right).$$

We have the following reciprocity laws for bi-local symbols

Theorem 3.4. *(a)* $\sum_P Log^{1,1}[f_1, f_2]_{C,P}^Q = 0.$

(b) $\prod_P {}^{1,2}[f_1, f_2, f_3]_{C,P}^Q = 1.$

(c) $\prod_P {}^{2,1}[f_1, f_2, f_3]_{C,P}^Q = 1.$

(d) $\prod_P {}^{2,2}[f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4]_{C,P}^Q = 1.$

Proof. Part (b), (c) and (d) follow directly from Theorem 3.1 and from Weil reciprocity. Part (a) follows again from Theorem 3.1 and the theorem that the sum of the residues of a differential form on a curve is zero. ☐

3.1.2 First type of reciprocity law for local symbols

Consider the product

$$\begin{aligned} Pr^Q_{C,P} = & \left({}^{1,2}[f_1,f_2,f_3]^Q_{C,P}\right)\left({}^{1,2}[f_2,f_3,f_1]^Q_{C,P}\right)\left({}^{1,2}[f_3,f_1,f_2]^Q_{C,P}\right)\times \\ & \times\left({}^{2,1}[f_1,f_2,f_3]^Q_{C,P}\right)\left({}^{2,1}[f_2,f_3,f_1]^Q_{C,P}\right)\left({}^{2,1}[f_3,f_1,f_2]^Q_{C,P}\right) \end{aligned}$$

consider the foliations F'_v and G_w. At the point $P = P_i$, define

$$g_k = f_k v^{-a_k} w_{-b_k}.$$

From Theorem 3.1, we have the following formula

$$Pr^Q_P = (-1)^K \frac{g_1(P)^{D_1} g_2(P)^{D_2} g_3(P)^{D_3}}{g_1(Q)^{D_1} g_2(Q)^{D_2} g_3(Q)^{D_3}},$$

where

$$D_1 = \begin{vmatrix} a_2 & b_2 \\ a_3 & b_3 \end{vmatrix}, \quad D_2 = \begin{vmatrix} a_3 & b_3 \\ a_1 & b_1 \end{vmatrix}, \quad D_3 = \begin{vmatrix} a_1 & b_1 \\ a_2 & b_2 \end{vmatrix}$$

and

$$K = a_1a_2b_3 + a_2a_3b_1 + a_3a_1b_2 + b_1b_2a_3 + b_2b_3a_1 + b_3b_1a_2.$$

Note that the Parshin symbol is

$$\{f_1, f_2, f_3\}_{C,P} = (-1)^K g_1(P)^{D_1} g_2(P)^{D_2} g_3(P)^{D_3}.$$

Theorem 3.5. *For the Parshin symbol, we have*

$$\prod_P \{f_1, f_2, f_3\}_{C,P} = 1,$$

where the product is taken over points P on a fixed curve C.

Proof. We are going to use reciprocity laws for bi=local symbols stated in Theorem 3.4 parts (b) and (c). Then we obtain a reciprocity law for the bi-local symbol Pr^Q_P. We have the following relation between the Parshin symbol and Pr^Q_P

$$\{f_1, f_2, f_3\}_{C,P} = Pr^Q_{C,P} g_1(Q)^{D_1} g_2(Q)^{D_2} g_3(Q)^{D_3}.$$

Now, we want to remove the dependence on the base point Q. In order to do that note that

$$\prod_P g_1(Q)^{D_1} = g_1(Q)^{\sum_P D_1}.$$

Moreover,

$$D_1 = (2\pi i)^{-2}\left(Log^{1,1}[f_2,f_3]^Q_P - Log^{1,1}[f_3,f_2]^Q_P\right)$$

by Theorem 3.1 part (a). Using Theorem 3.4 part (a), for the above equality, we obtain

$$\sum_P D_1 = 0.$$

Therefore,

$$\prod_P g_1(Q)^{D_1} = 1.$$

Similarly,

$$\prod_P g_2(Q)^{D_2} = 1 \text{ and } \prod_P g_3(Q)^{D_3} = 1.$$

Consider the bi-local symbol

$$PR^Q_{C,P} = \left({}^{2,2}[f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4]^Q_P\right) \left({}^{2,2}[f_1, f_2, f_4, f_3]^Q_P\right)^{-1} \times \\ \times \left({}^{2,2}[f_2, f_1, f_3, f_4]^Q_P\right)^{-1} \left({}^{2,2}[f_2, f_1, f_4, f_3]^Q_P\right).$$

Explicitly, it is given by

$$PR^Q_{C,P} = (-1)^L \frac{\left(\frac{g_1(P)^{b_2}}{g_2(P)^{b_1}}\right)^{a_3b_4-b_3a_4}}{\left(\frac{g_3(P)^{b_4}}{g_4(P)^{b_3}}\right)^{a_1b_2-b_1a_2}} \cdot \left(\frac{\left(\frac{g_1(Q)^{b_2}}{g_2(Q)^{b_1}}\right)^{a_3b_4-b_3a_4}}{\left(\frac{g_3(Q)^{b_4}}{g_4(Q)^{b_3}}\right)^{a_1b_2-b_1a_2}} \right)^{-1},$$

where

$$L = (a_1b_2 - a_2b_1)(a_3b_4 - a_4b_3).$$

Definition 3.6. *(4-function local symbol) With the above notation we define a 4-function symbol*

$$\{f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4\}_{C,P} = (-1)^L \frac{\left(\frac{g_1(P)^{b_2}}{g_2(P)^{b_1}}\right)^{a_3b_4-b_3a_4}}{\left(\frac{g_3(P)^{b_4}}{g_4(P)^{b_3}}\right)^{a_1b_2-b_1a_2}}.$$

It is an easy exercise to check that the symbol $\{f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4\}_{C,P}$ is independent of choices of local uniformizers. See also the Appendix for K-theoremtical approach for the 4-function local symbol.

Theorem 3.7. *We have the following reciprocity law for the symbol of four functions on a surface*

$$\prod_P \{f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4\}_{C,P} = 1,$$

where the product is taken over points P on a fixed curve C.

Proof. Using Theorem 3.4 part (c), we obtain that the bi-local symbol $PR^{Q}_{C,P}$ satisfies a reciprocity law. In order to complete the proof of this theorem, we proceed similarly to the proof of the first Kato-Parshin reciprocity law. Namely,

$$\prod_P g_1(Q)^{b_2(a_3b_4-a_4b_3)} = g_1(Q)^{b_2\sum_P a_3b_4-a_4b_3} = g(Q)^{b_2\cdot 0} = 1.$$

The last equality holds, because

$$a_3b_4 - a_4b_3 = (2\pi i)^{-2}\left(Log^{1,1}[f_3, f_4]^{Q}_{C,P} - Log^{1,1}[f_4, f_3]^{Q}_{C,P}\right) = 0$$

and

$$\sum_P (2\pi i)^{-2}\left(Log^{1,1}[f_3, f_4]^{Q}_{C,P} - Log^{1,1}[f_4, f_3]^{Q}_{C,P}\right) = 0,$$

by Theorem 3.1 (a) and Theorem 3.4 (a), respectively. □

3.2 Invariance under blow-up

We are going to compare the symbols on X and on a blow-up of X. There are two reasons for doing that. First, it will justify the general position of the divisors of the rational functions, which can be achieved by blow-ups. Second, it provides a way of establishing the second reciprocity law for the bi-local and for the local symbols.

Assume that the divisors of the rational functions $f_1, \dots, f_4$ intersect transversally. One can achieve that by successive blow-ups of the surface X. Denote by $(f_i)_0$ the zero divisor of f_i and similarly, by $(f_i)_\infty$ the infinity divisor of f_i.One can achieve that by successive blow-ups of the surface X. Again we can assume that

$$div_0(f_i) \cap div_\infty(f_i) = \emptyset,$$

for $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$, by successive blow-ups of the surface X.

Let C and D be intersecting curves among the divisors of f_1 and f_2. Fix $P \in C \cap D$. Assume that no other divisors of f_1 or of f_2 passes through the point P. Consider a blow up at P with an exceptional divisor E. Let

$$a_1 = ord_C(f_1), \quad b_1 = ord_D(f_1),$$

$$a_2 = ord_C(f_2), \quad b_2 = ord_D(f_2).$$

We have the following lemma.

Lemma 3.8. *Suppose that after the blow up the proper transforms of $\tilde{C}$ and $\tilde{D}$ of C and D, do not intersect. Then*

$$a_1 + b_1 = ord_E(f_1),$$

$$a_2 + b_2 = ord_E(f_2).$$

Since the zero and the infinity divisors do not intersect, we have that a_1 and b_1 have the same sign. Similarly, a_2 and b_2 have the same sign.

Corollary 3.9. *We have*

$$\{f_1, f_2, f_3\}_{\tilde{C},P} = \{f_1, f_2, f_3\}_{C,P}$$

and

$$\{f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4\}_{\tilde{C},P} = \{f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4\}_{C,P}$$

where $\tilde{C}$ is the proper transform of the curve C under a blow up of the surface X at the point P.

Proof. The required invariance under change of variables follows from the explicit formulas for each of the local symbols together with the equality of determinants

$$\begin{vmatrix} a_1 & b_1 \\ & \\ a_2 & b_2 \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} a_1 & b_1 \\ & \\ a_1 + a_2 & b_1 + b_2 \end{vmatrix}.$$

□

3.3 Second type of reciprocity laws

3.3.1 Definition of bi-local symbols

The definitions of bi-local symbols in this section are designed for a proof of the second reciprocity law for local symbols. Let $C_1, \dots, C_n$ be curves in X intersecting at a point P. Assume that $C_1, \dots, C_n$ are among the divisors of the rational functions $f_1, \dots, f_4$. Perform blow-ups at the point P, so that the curves $C_1, \dots, C_n$ meet transversally and no three of them intersect at one point. Let $E_1, \dots, E_m$ be the components of the exceptional divisor. Let $D_1, \dots, D_m$ be curves on $\tilde{X}$ such that D_l intersects E_l in one point. Setting

$$P_{kl} = E_l \cap C_k$$

and

$$Q_l = E_l \cap D_l,$$

we define

$${}^{i,j}[f_1, \dots, f_{i+j}]^{D_l}_{C_k,E_l} :={}^{i,j} [f_1, \dots, f_{i+j}]^{Q_l}_{E_l,P_{kl}}.$$

3.3.2 Bi-local reciprocity laws

Let us fix the curves D_l and E_l as in Subsubsection 3.3.1.

Theorem 3.10. *We have*

$$\prod_C {}^{1,2}[f_1, f_2, f_3]^{D_l}_{C,E_l} = 1$$

and

$$\prod_C {}^{2,2}[f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4]^{D_l}_{C,E_l} = 1,$$

where the product is over the curves C, among the divisors of at least one of the rational functions $f_1, \dots, f_4$, which pass through the point P.

Definition 3.11. *(Definition of local symbols)*

$$\begin{aligned} PutPr^{D_l}_{C,E_l} = {}^{1,2}[f_1, f_2, f_3]^{D_l}_{C,E_l} {}^{1,2}[f_2, f_3, f_1]^{D_l}_{C,E_l} {}^{1,2}[f_3, f_1, f_2]^{D_l}_{C,E_l} \times \\ \times {}^{2,1}[f_1, f_2, f_3]^{D_l}_{C,E_l} {}^{2,1}[f_2, f_3, f_1]^{D_l}_{C,E_l} {}^{2,1}[f_3, f_1, f_2]^{D_l}_{C,E_l}, \end{aligned}$$

The Parshin symbol

$$\{f_1, f_2, f_3\}_{C,E_l}$$

be the Parshin symbol can be obtained from $Pr^{D_l}_{C,E_l}$ by removing the dependence of D_l, (equivalently removing the dependence on the base point Q_l). It can be achieved as in the prove of Theorem 3.5. Put

$$\begin{aligned} PR^{D_l}_{C,E_l} = \left({}^{2,2}[f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4]^{D_l}_{C,E_l}\right) \left({}^{2,2}[f_1, f_2, f_4, f_3]^{D_l}_{C,E_l}\right)^{-1} \times \\ \times \left({}^{2,2}[f_2, f_1, f_3, f_4]^{D_l}_{C,E_l}\right)^{-1} \left({}^{2,2}[f_2, f_1, f_4, f_3]^{D_l}_{C,E_l}\right). \end{aligned}$$

We define a 4-function local symbol

$$\{f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4\}_{C,E_l}$$

from $PR^{D_l}_{C,E_l}$ by removing the dependence of D_l (equivalently, removing the dependence on the base point Q_l). It can be achieved as in the prove of Theorem 3.7.

3.3.3 Parshin symbol. Second reciprocity law.

Theorem 3.12. *We have*

$$\prod_C \{f_1, f_2, f_3\}_{C,E_l} = 1,$$

where the product is over the curves C, among the divisors of at least one of the rational functions $f_1, \dots, f_4$, which pass through the point P.

3.3.4 4-functions on a surface. Second reciprocity law.

Theorem 3.13. *We have*

$$\prod_C \{f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4\}_{C,E_l} = 1,$$

where the product is over the curves C, among the divisors of at least one of the rational functions $f_1, \dots, f_4$, which pass through the point P.

3.4 Symbols. Connections on loop spaces. Riemann curvature tensor.

Consider Definition 2.3. Then

$$\begin{array}{c} I^{1,2}(m; f_1, f_2, f_3) - I^{1,2}(m; f_2, f_1, f_3) = \\ = \int\int\int_{0\leq s_1 \leq s_2 \leq 1; 0\leq t\leq 1} m^*\left(\frac{df_1}{f_1}\wedge\frac{df_2}{f_2}\right)(s_1, t)\wedge m^*\left(\frac{df_3}{f_3}\right)(s_2, t). \end{array} \tag{3.1}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{array}{c} I^{2,1}(m; f_1, f_2, f_3) - I^{2,1}(m; f_2, f_1, f_3) = \\ = \int\int\int_{0\leq s\leq 1; 0\leq t_1\leq t_2 \leq 1} m^*\left(\frac{df_1}{f_1}\wedge\frac{df_2}{f_2}\right)(s, t_1)\wedge m^*\left(\frac{df_3}{f_3}\right)(s, t_2). \end{array}$$

Recall that a parallel transport of a 1-form ω with respect to a connection $\nabla = d - \theta$ along a path γ is given by

$$\omega \mapsto \left(\int_\gamma \omega\right)\theta + \left(\int_\gamma \omega\cdot\theta\right)\theta + \left(\int_\gamma \omega\cdot\theta\cdot\theta\right)\theta + \dots$$

The above two integrals are the type of iterated integrals on membranes used in [H4] for defining a connection on higher loop spaces and de Rham structure of higher loop spaces. Intuitively, the form $\omega = df_3/f_3$ (as a form on the total free loop space) is transported via the 1-form

$$\theta = \int_\tau \frac{df_1}{f_1}\wedge\frac{df_2}{f_2},$$

where τ is a loop around the curve C. (More precisely, $\tau(t) = m(s,t)$ for a fixed value of s. After the integral with respect to τ, we can integrate along σ with respect to the variable s.) Then the parallel transport along σ with respect to the connection $\nabla = d - \theta$ is

$$\omega \mapsto \left(\int_\sigma \omega\right)\theta + \text{ higher order iteration,}$$

which is essentially the right hand side of Equation (3.1), where the integration occurs only with respect to s_1 and t, but not s_2. That leads to the 1-form $(\int_\sigma \omega)\theta$.

Similarly, we can parallel transport

$$\omega = \int_\tau \frac{df_3}{f_3} \wedge \frac{df_4}{f_4},$$

with respect to the connection $\nabla = d - \theta$ for

$$\theta = \int_\tau \frac{df_1}{f_1} \wedge \frac{df_2}{f_2},$$

along a loop σ, That gives essentially $J :=$

$$\begin{gathered} I^{2,2}(m; f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4) - I^{2,2}(m; f_1, f_2, f_4, f_3) + \\ I^{2,2}(m; f_2, f_1, f_3, f_4) - I^{2,2}(m; f_2, f_1, f_4, f_3) = \end{gathered}$$

$$\int\int\int\int_{0\leq s_1\leq s_2\leq 1; 0\leq t_1\leq t_2\leq 1} m^*\left(\frac{df_1}{f_1} \wedge \frac{df_2}{f_2}\right)(s_1, t_1) \wedge m^*\left(\frac{df_3}{f_3} \wedge \frac{df_4}{f_4}\right)(s_2, t_2).$$

Then limit of the exponent of the last equations gives the preliminary version of the 4-function symbol, before the dependence on the base point is removed. Explicitly,

$$PR^Q_{C,P} = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \exp(2(2\pi i)^{-3} J)$$

There is one more interesting relation for the 4-function symbol.

Theorem 3.14. *Let*

$$R_{ijkl} = \{f_i, f_j, f_k, f_l\}_{C,P}.$$

Then R_{ijkl} has the same symmetry as the symmetry of a Riemann curvature tensor with respect to permutations of the indices.

Proof. It is a direct consequence of the explicit formula of the symbol. ☐

This relation between the 4-function symbol and the Riemann curvature tensor continues. In [H5], we construct an analogue of the Riemann curvature tensor in 4 dimensions, as a curvature of a connection on a loop space. The idea of relating connections on loop spaces to reciprocity laws was introduced in [BrMcL], using categorical structures such as gerbes. Here we have given an analytic alternative, which allows generalizations. The topic of connections on loop spaces and connection on higher loop spaces is developed in [H4].

To conclude this section, I would like to make a relation between general relativity, bi-local symbols (in this paper, Definitions 1.6 and 3.3) and path dependent symbols (see [H1], Theorems 2.9 and 3.3.) Usually, in quantum field theory one considers events to be local (depending on a space-time coordinate). When that idea is applied to special relativity an object is deformed linearly with respect to an observer, when moving with a constant relative speed. Constant speed can be considered as the difference between two vectors in space-time. One can think of the two vectors as the points P and Q for the bi-local symbol. Other relations between symbols in algebraic geometry and mathematical physics can be found in [T], where a key idea is that reciprocity laws in algebraic geometry correspond to conservation laws in physics.

Appendix A. By Matt Kerr

There is a well-known K-theoretic approach to the Parshin symbol, which we shall recall below. The purpose of this appendix is to bolster the case for the above definition of the 4-function symbol by showing that a related K-theoretic construction (to our surprise) does not quite reproduce its intriguing symmetry properties.

To begin, note that if only two components C and C' of $\bigcup_i |(f_i)|$ meet at a point $P \in X$, then the Parshin symbol has the *local symmetry property*

$$\{f_1, f_2, f_3\}_{C,P} = (\{f_1, f_2, f_3\}_{C',P})^{-1}$$

as does the 4-function symbol. This is just a special case of the second reciprocity law.

Now it is well known that the Parshin symbol may be computed by the composition

$$\begin{tikzcd} K_3^M(\mathbb{C}(X)) \arrow[r, "Tame_C"'] \arrow[rr, bend left, "\mathcal{P}_{C,P}"] & K_2(\mathbb{C}(C)) \arrow[r, "Tame_P"'] & \mathbb{C}^\times. \end{tikzcd}$$

Since $\mathcal{P}_{C,P}$ is invariant under blow-up and satisfies the local symmetry property, this reduces checking the two reciprocity laws to Weil reciprocity.

One is tempted to believe that the 4-function symbol $\{f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4\}_{C,P}$ (Definition 3.6) can be identified with the image of $\{f_1, f_2\} \otimes \{f_3, f_4\}$ under the composition

$$\begin{tikzcd} K_2(\mathbb{C}(X))^{\otimes 2} \arrow[r, "Tame_C^{\otimes 2}"'] \arrow[rrr, bend left, "\mathcal{Q}_{C,P}"] & (\mathbb{C}(C)^\times)^{\otimes 2} \arrow[r, two heads] & K_2(\mathbb{C}(C)) \arrow[r, "Tame_P"'] & \mathbb{C}^\times \end{tikzcd}$$

and to argue in the same manner. Indeed, the first reciprocity law for $\mathcal{Q}_{C,P}$ again follows from Weil reciprocity, and it is also invariant under blowup.

However, a short computation shows that $\mathcal{Q}_{C,P}$ and Definition 3.6 differ by the factor

$$(-1)^{a_1b_2b_3b_4 + b_1a_2b_3b_4 + b_1b_2a_3b_4 + b_1b_2b_3a_4}.$$

Indeed, we have

$$Tame_P\{Tame_C\{f_1, f_2\}, Tame_C\{f_3, f_4\}\} =$$

$$Tame_P\{Tame_C\{x^{a_1}y^{b_1}g_1, x^{a_2}y^{b_2}g_2\}, Tame_C\{x^{a_3}y^{b_3}g_3, x^{a_4}y^{b_4}g_4\}\} =$$

$$Tame_P\left\{(-1)^{b_1b_2}x^{a_1b_2-a_2b_1}\frac{(g_1|_C)^{b_2}}{(g_2|_C)^{b_1}}, (-1)^{b_3b_4}x^{a_3b_4-a_4b_3}\frac{(g_3|_C)^{b_4}}{(g_4|_C)^{b_3}}\right\} =$$

$$(-1)^{(a_1b_2-a_2b_1)(a_3b_4-a_4b_3)}\frac{\left\{(-1)^{b_1b_2}\left(\frac{(g_1|_P)^{b_2}}{(g_2|_P)^{b_1}}\right)\right\}^{(a_3b_4-a_4b_3)}}{\left\{(-1)^{b_3b_4}\left(\frac{(g_3|_P)^{b_4}}{(g_4|_P)^{b_3}}\right)\right\}^{(a_1b_2-a_2b_1)}} =$$

$$(-1)^{a_1b_2b_3b_4 + b_1a_2b_3b_4 + b_1b_2a_3b_4 + b_1b_2b_3a_4}\{f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4\}_{C,P}.$$

A simple example will suffice to demonstrate that this small distinction causes the symmetry property (and hence the second reciprocity law) to fail. Let $X = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$, and consider the functions $f_1 = xy$ and $f_2 = f_3 = f_4 = (1-x)y$. Then the second reciprocity law for the 4-function symbol holds at the point $(x, y) = (0, 0)$ of intersection of the divisors $C := \{x = 0\}$ and $C' := \{y = 0\}$. However, we have

$$\mathcal{Q}_{C,P} = 1 \neq -1 = (-1)^{-1} = (\mathcal{Q}_{C',P})^{-1}.$$

This appears to give persuasive evidence for the naturality of the author's approach to the definition of the 4-function symbol above via iterated integrals.

Acknowledgments: M. Kerr thanks I. Horozov for discussions and the NSF for support under grant DMS-1068974.

References

[BrMcL] Brylinski, J.-L.; McLaughlin, D. A.: The geometry of two-dimensional symbols. K-Theory 10 (1996), no. 3, 215-237.

[Ch] Chen, K.-T. Iterated path integrals, Bull. AMS, 83(1977),831-879.

[Co] Contou-Carrére, C.: Jacobienne locale, groupe de bivecteurs de Witt universel et symbole modéré, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér I 318 (1994) 743-746.

[D1] Deligne, P.: Le symbole modéré. (French) [The tame symbol] Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. No. 73 (1991), 147-181.

[D2] Deligne, P.: email to the author.

[DGL] Doran, Ch.; Gull, S.;Lasenby, A.: Gravity, Gauge Theories and Geometric Algebra. Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. A 356, 487-582 (1998).

[FV] Fesenko, I., Vostokov, S. On torsion in higher Milnor functors for multidimensional local elds, Amer. Math. Soc Transl. 2, 154 (1992) 25-35.

[G] Goncharov, A. B.: Multiple polylogarithms and mixed Tate motives, math.AG/0103059, 82 pages.

[G2] Goncharov, A.: Polylogarithms and motivic Galois group, Motives (Seattle, WA, 1991), 43-96, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., 55, Part 2, Amer. Math. Soc. Providence, RI, 1994.

[GH] Griffiths P., Harris, J.: Principles of algebraic geometry, Pure and Applied Mathematics. Wiley-Interscience [John Wiley & Sons], New York, 1978. xii+813 pp.

[H1] Horozov, I.: Non-abelian reciprocity laws on a Riemann surface. Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN 2011, no. 11, 2469-2495.

[H2] Horozov, I.: Non-commutative Two Dimensional Modular Symbol, arXiv:math/0611955

[H3] Horozov, I.: A refinement of the Parshin symbol for surfaces, arXiv:1002.2698 .

[H4] Horozov, I.: Parallel transport on higher loop spaces, arXiv:1206.5784 .

[H5] Horozov, I.: Gravity and electromagnetism via iterted integrals arxiv

[Ka] Kato, K.: Milnor K-theory and the Chow group of zero cycles, Contemp. Math. 55, A.M.S. (1986), 241-253.

[Ke] Kerr, M.: An elementary proof of Suslin reciprocity, Canad. Math. Bull. 48 (2005), v. 2, 221-236.

[Kh] Khovanskii, Askold: Logarithmic functional and reciprocity laws. Toric topology, 221-229, Contemp. Math., 460, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2008.

[Kl] Kleiman, S.: Geometry on Grassmannians and applications to splitting bundles and smoothing cycles. Publ. Math., Inst. Hautes Étud. Sci. 36, 281-297 (1969).

[M] Manin, Yu. I.: Iterated integrals of modular forms and non-commutative modular symbols, Algebraic Geometry and Number Theory, in Honor of Vladimir Drinfeld's 50th Birthday, V. Ginzburg (ed.), Progress in Math. 256, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, 2006, pp. 565-597; preprint AG/0502576. math.NT/0502576, 37 pages.

[M2] Manin, Yu. I.: email to the first author.

[O] Osipov, D.: email to the author.

[OZh] Osipov, Denis; Zhu, Xinwen: A categorical proof of the Parshin reciprocity laws on algebraic surfaces. Algebra Number Theory 5 (2011), no. 3, 289-337.

[P1] Parshin A.N.: Local class field theory, Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov, vol. 165, 1984.

[P2] Parshin A.N.: Galois cohomology and Brauer group of local fields, Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov, vol. 183, 1984.

[R] Romo F.P.: Algebraic construction of the tame symbol and the Parshin symbol on a surface, Algebra 274 (2004), no 1, 335-346.

[T] Takhtajan, L.: Quantum field theories on algebraic curves and A. Weil reciprocity law. arXiv:0812.0169 (2008).

[Th] Thomas R.P.: Nodes and the Hodge conjecture. J. Algebraic Geometry 14 (2005) 177-185.

Ivan E. Horozov
Washington University in St Louis
Department of Mathematics
Campus Box 1146

One Brookings Drive
St Louis, MO 63130
USA

Matt Kerr
Washington University in St Louis
Department of Mathematics
Campus Box 1146
One Brookings Drive
St Louis, MO 63130
USA